Effect of isolated plyometric training and combined plyometric and strength training on agility among college men
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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to find out the effect of isolated plyometric training and combined plyometric and strength training on agility of college men. To achieve the purpose, forty-five (N=45) men students in Govt. degree college Frisal Kulgam, Jammu and Kashmir, were selected as subjects. The age ranged between 19 – 23 years. The selected subjects were randomly assigned into three equal groups of fifteen (n=15) subjects each such as isolated plyometric training group, combined plyometric and strength training group and control group. The experimental group underwent their respective experiment treatment for twelve weeks and a session on each day. Control group was not participated in any specific training apart from their regular activities. The agility test was taken as criterion variable for the present study and it was measured by shuttle 4X10 meters. All the subjects of three groups were tested on the selected dependable at prior to and after the training programme. The analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to analyze the significant difference, if any between the groups. Level of confidence was fixed at 0.05 to find out the level of significance which was considered as an appropriate. The result revealed that there was a significant difference between isolated plyometric training group and combined plyometric and strength training group (10.24 ± 0.65 and 9.95 ± 0.49) on Agility and also the result of the study shows that the improvement of (0.33) on the Agility was significant for combined plyometric and strength training group than isolated plyometric training group.
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INTRODUCTION

Plyometric exercises that involve stretching an active muscle prior to its shortening have been shown to enhance performance during the concentric phase of muscular contraction. Observed during the concentric phase, this enhancement has been attributed to the release of elastic energy stored in the series elastic elements of the muscle during the stretch. The ability of the muscles to store and utilize
elastic energy depends on the speed of the stretch, length of the stretch, force at the end of the stretch, and length of time the stretch is held. (Bosco, C., Komi, and A. Ito 1981).

Traditional modalities to improve strength, include plyometric exercises and resistance training with movement patterns as close as possible to specific football skills, aiming to warrant the highest degrees of transference between strength gains and soccer technical skills. For this purpose, conditioning coaches often refer to the complex training method, which combines weight lifting of heavy loads with plyometric exercises, ser for rest, in the same workout. (Robbin DW 2005). A plyometric training programme should consider the goal of the training for a particular period, should respect basic training principles, first of all the principle of individualization, a progressively increasing load (from low intensity to high intensity exercises over a period of several years and also during annual training cycle), the principle of specificity (advanced athletes with plyometric method experiences should prefer specific exercises). It is also very important to have in mind their participation in the training cycles based on their actual health conditions, jump load and possible combination with other training exercises (Beachle & Earle, 2000; Bourne, 1994, Faigeubau & Westcott, 2000, Fergenbaum & Wayne, 2001; Gambetta, 1998; Chu: 1998; Komi, 1992; Marvellous, 1999; Reddin & Johnson, 1999; Scates & Linn, 2003).

Plyometric is a type of exercise training designed to produce fast, powerful movements and improve the functions of nervous system., generally for the purpose of improving performance in sports. Plyometric movements, in which a muscle is loaded and then contracted in rapid sequence, use the strength, elasticity and innervations of muscle and surrounding tissue to jump higher and run faster, depending on the desired running goal. (Brook’s 1996 and Goran 2007). Plyometric training is an integral component of training which many fitness specialists use for optimizing strength and power performance in several sports. (Davies et al. 2015; Ramirez-Campillo et al., 2018; Bogdanis, Donti et al. 2019). plyometric training has been applied in numerous studies, and there is a general consensus that it improves sport specific skill such as agility (Miller et al. 2006). It has been previously suggested that plyometric training improves sport specific agility in sports where sudden movements (acceleration stops and direction changes) are required (Yap and Brown 2000) participants performed two trials of agility test with five minutes recovery between test types. The best time of the two trials were considered for later analysis. Times to complete the agility tests where measured every time by the same three assisting people using a stop watch. The average of the time measured by three assistants was used for statistics. Until the end of the experimental status of the participants (PL or Control) was unknown for all assistants.

Plyometric has proven to be effective and efficient in developing power. Plyometric drills involve starting, stopping and change of movement directions which contribute to agility development (Miller et al. 2001). Previous studies showed that plyometric training when used in a periodized
manner, can contribute to agility gains (Miller et al. 2006; Thomas et al. 2009). It was stated by Kukolj, Ropret, Ugarkovic and Jaric (1999) that dynamic movements requiring high muscle power are provided by methods such as plyometric training and that such training improve agility because agility performance is also a dynamic movement requiring high muscle power. Plyometric training not only strengthens the joints, tendons and muscles, but also trains the nervous system to react more efficiently all these effects help improve agility.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The aim of this study was to find out the effect of isolated plyometric training and combined plyometric and strength training on Agility test of college men. To achieve this purpose forty-five men students studying bachelor’s degree in Govt. Degree College Frisal Kulgam, UT of jammu and kashmir were selected as subjects during the academic year 2021-2022 were randomly selected. The age of the subjects was ranged from 19 – 23 years. The selected subjects were divided in to three equal groups of fifteen subjects each at random. Group-I isolated plyometric training group, Group-II combined plyometric and strength training group and Group-III Control group did not participate in any training programme other than their regular activities according to their curriculum. The experimental period was of twelve weeks. The requirements of the experimental procedures, testing as well as exercise schedules were explained to them so as to avoid any ambiguity of the effort required on their part and prior to the administration of the study. The investigator got the individual consent from each subject. The subjects underwent their respective training programme under the strict supervision of the convenor of sports and assistant physical instructor of the Govt degree college Frisal Kulgam jammu and kashmir India. The data on agility test was collected by administering Shuttle run 4X10 Meters. Pre-test were collected prior to the testing programme and post data were collected immediately after the twelve weeks of training programme on both the experimental group and control group.

STATISTICAL PROCEDURE

The collected data from Isolated plyometric training and combined plyometric and strength training and control group during pre and post-test on selected criterion variable such as Agility used for statistical treatment to find out the significance difference between the adjusted post means by computing the analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). The 0.05 level of significance was fixed to test the significance which was considered to be appropriate measures. Since three groups were compared, whenever obtained “F” ratio for the adjusted post-test was found to be significant the Scheffe’s test was applies as post Hoc test to find out paired mean differences if any.

RESULTS
Analysis of covariance for the pre-test, post-test and adjusted post-test mean values for isolated plyometric training and combined plyometric and strength training and Control group on Agility.

Analysis of covariance of isolated plyometric training and combined plyometric and strength training and control group on Agility

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>IPTG</th>
<th>CPTSTG</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>SOV</th>
<th>SOS</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F-Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-test Mean</td>
<td>10.97</td>
<td>11.05</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>BG</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(± SD)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>WG</td>
<td>20.53</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-test Mean</td>
<td>10.24</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>BG</td>
<td>10.77</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>11.94*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(± SD)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>WG</td>
<td>18.94</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted post-test Mean</td>
<td>10.28</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>BG</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>16.84*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WG</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at 0.05 level (Required table value 3.22 with df 2, 42)

The above data shows the pre-test means and standard deviation on agility of isolated plyometric training and combined plyometric and strength training and control groups are 10.97 ± 0.65, 11.05 ± 0.59 and 11.12 ± 0.82 respectively. The obtained F-ratio value 0.16 of agility is less than the required table value 3.22, which proved that the scores in agility before the training were equal and there were no significant differences. The post-test Mean and standard deviation on Agility of Isolated plyometric training and combined plyometric and strength training and control group are 10.24 ± 0.65, 9.95 ± 0.49 and 11.10 ± 0.82 respectively. The obtained ‘F’ ratio value 11.94 of Agility is greater than the required table value of 3.22. It implies that significant differences exist between three groups during the post test period on Agility. The adjusted post-test Means on Agility on isolated plyometric training and combined plyometric and strength training and control group are 10.28, 9.95 and 11.06 respectively. The obtained ‘F, ratio value 16.849 of agility is greater than the required table value of 3.23. Hence, it is concluded that significant differences exist between the adjusted post-test means of isolated plyometric training and combined plyometric and strength training and control group on Agility. Since, the obtained ‘F’ ratio value in the adjusted post-test means is found to be significant, the Scheffe’s test is applied as post hoc test to find out the paired mean differences, and it is presented below.
Scheffe’s post hoc test for the differences among paired means and experimental and control group on Agility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IPTG</th>
<th>CPTG</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.28</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.28</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>0.78*</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>1.11*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant, p≤ 0.05

From the above table the Scheffe’s post hoc analysis proved that significant mean differences exist between isolated plyometric training and combined plyometric and strength training and control group on Agility. Since the Mean differences 0.78 and 1.11 are higher than the confidence internal value of 0.49 at 0.05 level of confidence. However, no significant difference exists between isolated plyometric training and combined plyometric and strength training groups since mean difference is 0.33 less than confident value of 0.49 at 0.05 level of confidence.

The result of this study shows that significant difference exists between isolated plyometric training group and combined plyometric and strength training group and control group on Agility. However, the improvement of Agility was significantly was higher in combined plyometric and strength training group than isolated plyometric training group. It may be concluded that combined plyometric and strength training is better than isolated plyometric training in improving Agility. The adjusted post mean values of isolated plyometric training group, combined plyometric and strength training group and control group are graphically represented in figure.

DISCUSSION
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The result of the present study points out that Agility of the subject significantly improved due to isolated plyometric training and combined plyometric and strength training. The findings are also in agreement with the findings are also in agreement with the findings of Blinder Singh (2011) Effect of short-term plyometric training program is improved Agility in young basketball players. Meylan and Malatesta (2009), stretching is a series of the elastic components during contraction muscles produces on elastic potential energy similar to that of a burdened spring. The agility occurs due to the explosive power movements (Miller 2006), Agility also depends on muscle strength, speed, coordination and dynamic balance (Heang, 2012), Kisner (Meylan & Malatesta, 2009) describes in three phases in plyometric exercises called stretch shortening cycle: phases during elongation are also called stretch cycle, and shortening phase is also called shortening cycle. In plyometric exercises basically focus on stretch shortening cycle to generate maximum power. The muscle function is drawn before concentric contraction is maximized, followed by rapid movements from the eccentric phase to the concentric which helps stimulate the proprioceptors to facilitate increased muscle recruitment in a minimum amount of time (Meylan & Malatesta, 2009). With the no. of motor units activated the neural adaptation will increase with increase neural adaptation it can affect agility, especially to improve intermuscular coordination (Vaczi, et al. 2011).

CONCLUSION

Isolated plyometric training and combined plyometric and strength training have been shown to increase factors associated with Agility. It is also concluded that significant differences exist between isolated plyometric and combined plyometric and strength training groups improved the Agility. The pre, post and adjusted post-test mean values of experimental group and control group on Agility is graphically represented above.
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